

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/591,584	06/09/2000	Peter T Dietz	55434USA1A.002	2946	
7590 02/17/2004			EXAMINER		
Harold C Knecht III Office of Intellectual Property Counsel			VO, HAI		
3M Innovative Properties Company		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P O Box 33427 St Paul, MN 55133			1771		
,			DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004	DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.

09/591,584

DIETZ, PETER T

Examiner

Hai Vo

Applicant(s)

DIETZ, PETER T

1771

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (1.62) in complication that of Office (1.114)
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: <u>12,24-27,30,34 and 40</u> .
Claim(s) objected to: 16,23,28,29,36 and 37.
Claim(s) rejected: 1-11,13-15,17-22,31-33,35,38 and 39.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. ☐ Other:

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that since the Hutchison film functions to reflect visible light while the Murphy film functions to transmit visible light, one skilled in the art would not have been motivated to combine their teaching as proposed by the Examiner. The arguments are not found persuasive. Hutchison discloses that the laminate comprises a layer of silver having a thickness of 1 to 1.5 microns. The thin layer of silver indicates that the laminate is not totally reflective to visible light as argued by Applicant. A silver deposition in such an amount still allows some degree of visible light transmission. Likewise the Murphy film functions to transmit light visible light too: Accordingly, the art rejections are sustained. Additionally, the examiner confirms that there is no 112 recjections set out in the Office Action mailed on 10/27/2003, claims 16, 23, 36 and 37 should be recited allowable subject matter.

TERREL MORRIS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700